

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004

| APPLICATION NO.               | FILING DATE          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/752,830                    | 01/03/2001           | Henry Azima          | 085874-0290         | 1182             |
| 22428                         | 7590 06/30/2004      |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| FOLEY AND LARDNER             |                      |                      | LE, HUYEN D         |                  |
| SUITE 500<br>3000 K STREET NW |                      |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                               | WASHINGTON, DC 20007 |                      |                     | 12               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | A. Carton  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| •  | Application No.   | Appeant(s)   |  |  |  |
| . Office Action Summers  | 10/752,830  | SHIMADA ET AL.   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
| The MAIL INC DATE of this communication on   | HUYEN D. LE   | 2643   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply  | pears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 A</u>   | April 2004.   | ,  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This   | ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |
|  | ) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under l   | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45   | 53 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17,19-24,26-30 and 36-46</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17,19-24,26-30 and 36-46</u> is/are re 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | ejected.  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.   | cepted or b) objected to by the E<br>drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See<br>ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No ed in this National Stage  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  | _   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:  |  |  |  |  |

· Application/Control Number: 10/\$\,\,\,\,830

Art Unit: 2643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6-16, 19-24, and 36-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Spitz (FR 2649575).

Regarding claims 1-3, 6-10, 19, 22-24, and 38-41, Spitz teaches a loudspeaker assembly that comprises a visual display screen (3, 30), a panel form member (2, page 4, lines 14-18 and page 5, line 21) and at least one vibration exciting member (4, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3) as claimed. Spitz further teaches that the panel-form member is adapted to be resonant when excited at audio frequencies, and the vibration exciting transducer is adapted to apply bending wave energy to the panel-form member as claimed (page 3, lines 11-13, page 6, lines 13-20 and page 11, lines 5-8).

Spitz also teaches that one or more marginal portions of the member (2) are clamped or restrained as claimed (5, 31 and page 5, lines 16-20).

Regarding claim 11, Spitz teaches the vibration exciting transducer is inertial (page 10, lines 19-22).

Regarding claims 12-13 and 20, Spitz teaches an associated support or a cavity (1) as claimed.

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claims 14-16, 36 and 37, Spitz shows the resonant panel-form member (2) and the vibration exciting member as claimed (see the drawings).

Regarding claim 21, Spitz teaches the cavity that is shallow in depth as claimed (page 14, lines 1-4).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 4-5, 17, 26-30 and 42-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spitz et al. (FR 2,649,575).

Regarding claim 4, Spitz does not specifically teach the material of the member (2) as claimed. However, Spitz does not restrict to any type of the transparent material for the member (2).

Application/Control Number: 10/\$\,\infty\$,830

Art Unit: 2643

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide any plastic material for the transparent member (2) of Spitz such as polystyrene, polycarbonate, glass or a laminate of plastics and glass for providing better the rigidity to the screen.

Regarding claim 5, Spitz does not specifically teach the material of the member (2) as claimed. However, Spitz does not restrict to any transparent material for the member (2, the last three lines on page 5, and lines 11-15).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide any type of material for the member (2) of Spitz such as a laminate material with a plastic core and glass skins depending on the applications, and providing a better material and the rigidity to the diaphragm.

Regarding claim 17, Spitz does not specifically teach a transparent piezoelectric or electret for the vibration exciting transducer as claimed.

However, providing piezoelectric or electret type for an exciter or driver in a loudspeaker is very well-known in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide any type for the exciter or driver for the audio transducer of Spitz, such as a piezoelectric or electret type for greater flexibility.

Regarding claims 26-30, Spitz does teach a construction of a loudspeaker or an audio transducer in television sets. Spitz does not specifically teach a user-accessible surface and means associated with the surface and responsive to user contact as claimed in claims 26-30. However, providing a user-accessible surface and the user-responsive means in the computers or television sets are known in the art.

• Application/Control Number: 10/2

Art Unit: 2643

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide on the television set or computer of Spitz any user-accessible surface and user-responsive means such as pads, switches, buttons, visible areas user responsive contacts, etc., for better controlling and operation the television set.

Regarding claims 42-46, Spitz does not specifically teach that the loudspeaker assembly can be applied to a telephone receiver or a portable personal computer.

However, Spitz does estimate the speaker construction to be used in a communications device, a display screen module or a television set.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the loudspeaker construction of Spits to be applied to any communications device or display screen module such as a telephone receiver or a personal computer with a key pad and a lid for greater application.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 04/05/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Responding to arguments about the panel-form member in the Spitz, the Applicant should note that Spitz does teach the panel form member (2) which acts as a loudspeaker membrane and made of transparent material (page 4, lines 14-18 and page 5, line 21). Also the member (2) is adapted to be resonant (page 6, lines 6), and the vibration exciting transducer is adapted to apply bending wave energy to the panel-form member to cause it resonate as claimed (page 5, lines 11-16 and page 5, lines 18-20).

• Application/Control Number: 10/12,830

Art Unit: 2643

The Applicant also argued about that the drivers of Spitz are low frequency pistonic drivers or the teaching in Spirz is of a conventional pistonic driver. However, there is no disclosure in Spitz for a <u>pistonic</u> driver. Further, the low frequencies and higher frequencies can be reproduced in the Spitz system (page 6, lines 3-6).

Also, Spitz does teach the one or more marginal portions of the radiator are clamped or restrained (page 5, lines 16-20).

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUYEN D. LE whose telephone number is (703) 305-4844. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM-6:00PM.

- Application/Control Number: 10/7, 830

Art Unit: 2643

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CURTIS KUNTZ can be reached on (703) 305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HL

June 25, 2004

HUYEN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER